BEFORE THE ALABAMA DEPARTMENT OF REHABILITATION SERVICES

IN RE: THE MATTER OF CALVIN SCOTT

A Full and Evidentiary Hearing Pursuant to the Randolph-

Sheppard Vending Act 20 U.S.C. 107, et seq.

VOLUME III

[Pages 151 to 225]

	151
1	Q. At all of these locations,
2	are there different requirements to obtain
3	access?
4	A. Yes. That's that's really
5	up to the building manager about how they
6	enter and exit the building.
7	Q. Have you ever worked with a
8	blind vendor assigned to a federal prison
9	location?
LO	A. Yes. Yes.
L 1	Q. Do those blind vendors have
L 2	certain restrictions as to how they can
1 3	arrive at those locations
l 4	A. Yes.
L 5	Q in order to comply with
L 6	them to operate their business on those
L 7	locations?
L 8	A. Yes.
L 9	Q. It's not unusual for building
3 0	management of any sort, private, State or
21	federal to place certain restrictions or
2 2	certain procedures for a blind vendor as
2 3	far as access to machines?

	1
1	A. Right. I think I've still
2	got some that don't have any access except
3	for having the security guards open the
4	doors for them.
5	Q. Have to be accompanied by a
6	security guard?
7	A. In the prisons, yes, they
8	have to be accompanied, I think. And in
9	many cases they do. And in like the State
10	Judicial Building, I think they have to
11	have security open the doors for them.
12	Q. Are you generally familiar
13	with the income monthly sales reports
14	filed on a monthly basis with
15	A. Yes.
16	Q in connection with Calvin
17	Scott's location?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. Do you have any reason to
2 0	think that they were inaccurate in any
21	way?
2 2	A. No. They haven't changed
23	much over the years.

153 Q. But it's your belief that 1 they accurately reflect the sales at a 2 building of that size and with the number 3 of employees situated buying from those 4 machines? 5 A. Yes. 6 MR. SIMPSON: That's all the 7 questions I have. 8 HEARING OFFICER: Ms. Fleming, 9 do you have any questions? 10 MS. FLEMING: No questions. 11 HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Scott, do 12 you have any questions for Mr. Green? 13 MR. SCOTT: Yes, I do. 14 CROSS-EXAMINATION 15 16 BY MR. SCOTT: 17 In February after getting 18 threatening letters from Ray Dennis --HEARING OFFICER: Would you 19 20 please, Ms. Scott, please refrain 21 from rattling your papers while 22 we're --23 MS. SCOTT: I'm sorry.

www.freedomreporting.com

	154
1	Q. After getting those
2	threatening letters from Ray Dennis
3	threatening me out of the program, now,
4	how would you expect me to go down to that
5	building without my wife? I cannot grasp
6	it and all, because, see, number one
7	HEARING OFFICER: Well, this is
8	the time to ask him a question now.
9	MS. SCOTT: Yeah. You have to
10	ask him a question, Calvin.
11	Q. Well, anyway, we now, when
12	you gave us that key
13	MS. SCOTT: He didn't give it to
14	us.
15	HEARING OFFICER: Ms. Scott
16	Ms. Scott, I'm asking Mr. Scott if he
17	has any questions. I'm not asking
18	you, Ms. Scott.
19	MS. SCOTT: Ask him a question.
2 0	Q. When you told us to pick up
21	that key, the swipe key in 2004, now, you
22	told us to keep the key and not you
2 3	know, and not lose that key. And we did

	165
1	not you did not tell us to return that
2	key to Buddy Swearengin every day we had
3	that key, did you?
4	A. That's correct.
5	MS. SCOTT: Ask him did he think
6	the key was permanent.
7	Q. Did you think the key was
8	permanent that I had?
9	A. The first key, yes, sir.
10	Yes, sir.
11	MS. SCOTT: That's
12	HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Scott,
13	would you like to designate Ms. Scott
14	to be your representative and to ask
15	questions for you?
16	MR. SCOTT: When it becomes
17	necessary, yes.
18	HEARING OFFICER: Well, I mean,
19	it's hard for the court reporter to
2 0	take down what's happening when both
21	of you are taking at the same time,
2 2	and it is not appropriate in any
2 3	event. So if you want to designate

156 1 Ms. Scott to be your representative and to ask all your questions for you 2 and to make your opening statement, 3 your closing statement, that's fine. 4 But both of you cannot talk at the 5 same time. That is disrespectful. 6 MR. SCOTT: Well, yes, she can. 7 HEARING OFFICER: All right. Ms. Scott, I understand that 9 Mr. Scott has now designated you to 10 be his representative. 11 12 MS. SCOTT: Yes. HEARING OFFICER: And you can 13 act as his representative, his 14 15 lawyer, and you can ask all the 16 questions you like. MS. SCOTT: Okay. Well, he 17 stated that most of the machines was 18 full, so I know better and I've got 19 20 proof of that. 21 HEARING OFFICER: You can ask him questions, but this is not the 22 23 time to make speeches.

www.freedomreporting.com

	1 1 5 7
1	MS. SCOTT: I won't ask him
2	that. Calvin asked him questions
3	about that about the key.
4	HEARING OFFICER: You don't have
5	any further questions?
6	MS. SCOTT: No. No. I've got
7	other stuff to show proof of that
8	later on.
9	HEARING OFFICER: Any further
10	questions?
11	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
12	BY MR. SIMPSON:
13	Q. You've continued to work with
14	the Scotts as their representative and
15	with the Gordon Persons Building?
16	A. Yes. In the last since
17	these events that we're talking about,
18	very rarely do I get them on the phone
19	personally, you know, talking to them
20	actually. I usually get their machine.
21	One for instance, one day probably
22	three o'clock
23	Q. You've answered my question.

		4	-
1	A. Okay.	1	58
2	Q. And you will	continue to	
3	work. That's your job?		
4	A. Oh, yes. Yes		
5	Q. You will cont	inue to work	
6	with them?		
7	A. Right.		
8	Q. And try to as	ssist them.	
9	Moving that snack machine,	that's	
10	something that you and ted	hnicians that	
11	work underneath you effect	uated for the	
12	Scotts?		
13	A. Right.		
14	Q. If there is a	ny other	
15	problem, repairs, anything	that has to be	
16	done with those machines,	it's your duty	
17	to continue to do that?	1	
18	A. Yes.		
19	Q. And you are n	amed as one of	
20	the defendants in Mr. Scot	t's lawsuit	
21	where he seeks a million d	ollars, to have	
22	you incarcerated in a coun	ty jail for six	
2 3	months and removed from yo	ur job?	

	1 - ^
1	A. Yes,
2	MR. SIMPSON: All right. That's
3	all I have.
4	HEARING OFFICER: Any additional
5	questions, Ms. Scott, of Mr. Green?
6	MS. SCOTT: Well, yes. I had
7	one I wanted to ask him, but it just
8	left my mind right now. Let me see.
9	MS. SCOTT: About the he said
10	that the machine was full. I want to
11	ask him.
12	RECROSS-EXAMINATION
13	BY MS. SCOTT:
14	Q. Just tell me what machines
15	was mostly full.
16	A. They were full when you left.
17	So over the two week period as people
18	continued to buy, several of the machines
19	became empty but not all the machines.
20	The places where people go less frequently
21	and could be like behind the locked doors,
2 2	some of the machines never did go empty.
23	And that's why we took pictures to

1	1.00
1	160 document, you know, how the machines
2	looked. And you can actually see how many
3	products are still in the machines.
4	Q. Okay. How many machines are
5	behind locked doors?
6	A. That's only one that is
7	totally locked in.
8	Q. Okay. Has that machine
9	always been totally locked in?
10	A. That I can't answer. I'm not
11	sure if it's always been that way.
12	Q. Well, you've been down to the
13	building. You've been up on that floor?
14	A. Right.
15	Q. And it wasn't locked then?
16	A. The machine well, I have
17	no problem in knocking on a door and
18	saying showing them what I've got in my
19	hand, showing them my State ID and saying
2 0	would you let me walk to the other side,
21	especially when I can't find a machine.
2 2	In the last several years, we'll take our
2 3	inventory sheet and actually put which

FREEDOM COURT REPORTING

	161
column each	one of the machines is beside,
because tha	at building is known as the
Missing Per	sons Building because it's very
easy to get	turned around and the machines
aren't in t	the exact place everywhere.
Q.	Well, I'm talking about this
one particu	lar machine that we reported to
you in 2004	that had become under lock and
key as you	get off the elevator floor on 4
Monroe and	Ripley that started you had
to ask abou	at the swipe key when we told
you we need	led a permanent swipe key?
Α.	Right. And that's the one
that you st	ill have to get in to get to,
right? Tha	it's the one you're talking
about?	
Q.	That's the one I'm talking
about.	
Α.	Yes. Now, what's the
question?	Was it always locked up?
Q.	That's right. Was that door
that you ge	t off the elevator that leads
Out in the	hallway bogayge both the

	162
1	hallway doors has always been secured.
2	And I learned our way through that we
3	didn't have to go through those other
4	doors. I found a simpler way through
5	there. But when that door became locked,
6	we couldn't get in to that
7	HEARING OFFICER: Well, you're
8	testifying now. You need to ask him
9	questions.
. 0	A. Yes. I think it did at some
L 1	time become they actually locked the
. 2	elevator door.
. 3	Q. When was it first reported to
. 4	you that that door was locked?
. 5	A. I don't know the date.
. 6	Q. You don't remember you
. 7	don't remember in 2004 we called and
. 8	reported to you that it was locked and we
. 9	could not get in?
2 0	A. Well, I
1	Q. You were going to see about
2	getting us a key, a permanent key?
3	A. Yes, ma'am. Based on all

1	this information that we've talked about
2	today, I would guess that it was right
3	before we got the swipe key the first time
4	possibly.
5	Q. Yeah. But it was 2004 when
6	we reported that we couldn't get in?
7	A. Okay.
8	Q. And it was 2005, January 31st
9	when we came out and had a put in three
10	requests for you-all and told you about
11	the swipe key and what Swearengin had done
12	to us.
13	A. Okay.
14	Q. So I'll ask you again. Has
15	that door always been locked that we could
16	get in on 4 Monroe and Ripley?
17	HEARING OFFICER: Hold on a
18	minute. How long have you been
19	how old is that building, the Gordon
20	Persons Building?
21	THE WITNESS: About 1990, I
22	believe, isn't it?
2 3	HEARING OFFICER: How long have

1	you been working in the Gordon
2	Persons Building?
3	THE WITNESS: Since I started
4	which was either '95, '96.
5	HEARING OFFICER: He's not able
6	to ask he's not able to tell you
7	whether the door was always locked.
8	MS. SCOTT: Well, we didn't
9	start there until 1995 either, March
10	31st, 1995.
11	HEARING OFFICER: Well, your
12	question asked him has it always been
13	locked. He didn't come there until
14	five years after the building opened.
15	MS. SCOTT: Well, we didn't
16	either. We didn't get there until
17	March 31st, 1995.
18	THE WITNESS: The answer is at
19	one time I think the door coming out
20	of the elevator was unlocked at one
21	time. But when they put the card key
22	lock on it, then you had to knock on
23	the door to get someone and if

	1 / -
1	165 someone would let you in, fine. Or
2	you had to have a key.
3	HEARING OFFICER: When did that
4	occur?
5	THE WITNESS: And I don't have
6	that date, but I would guess shortly
7	before we got them the swipe key the
8	first time.
9	HEARING OFFICER: Sometime in
10	2004?
11	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. Yes,
12	sir.
13	Q. Okay. I've got another
14	question for you. Now, when we came out
15	on January the 31st, you could not even go
16	to Calvin's folder. We asked you what
17	date was that key issued, that it was your
18	place to go to his folder and tell us the
19	exact date. Because you asked me whether
20	it was a week or two weeks and I said, No.
21	It's been longer than that. It's been a
22	month.
23	A. No.

FREEDOM COURT REPORTING

HEARING OFFICER: Try to ask him one question at a time, now, and try not to make speeches and try not to testify. Give him one question at a time.

Q. Okay. Well, why wasn't you able to tell us the date that that key was issued? That you had to go Swearengin's office and pick up the key and --

him -- now that you've asked your question, let him answer it. Okay?

A. I didn't record the date that the key was issued because those kind of things happen every day. My main -- my main responsibility is to make sure everything keeps running smooth. So if you had called me and said we need a key or if I had came by there and you said, Look, we really need a key, I would just automatically go to Swearengin, Buddy, and say, Can you give me a key. I need a key for the Scotts, or the Scotts need a key

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

FREEDOM COURT REPORTING

167

would probably be what I said. And based on all the information that we have talked about today, it would seem reasonable that you could have called me and then I could have turned around and called Swearengin and said, Look, the Scotts need a key. So instead of him actually placing the key in my hand, he left it at the front desk of his office and then y'all, I think, went by and got it. Did you not call and tell us that you had worked it out and that we have to go to Swearengin's office to pick up the key? Yes. I think that's what I just said. If I wasn't on site, then I would have called Swearengin and said, Can

just said. If I wasn't on site, then I would have called Swearengin and said, Can I get the Scotts a key. You know, can they have a key. And then he said, Yes.

I'll leave it at the desk and they can come by and get it. That would save at least several hours if I was in town by me having to go by, pick up the key and then

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

FREEDOM COURT REPORTING

168 bring it to y'all. If y'all just walked 1 2 up a floor or wherever and went by and got 3 the key, it would save time. MR. SCOTT: Now I've got a 5 question. May I speak? 6 HEARING OFFICER: Why don't you 7 tell your wife the question that you 8 want her to ask. Whisper it in her 9 ear. 10 Ο. The question he wants to ask 11 is the whole time from 1995, the latter part or whenever you came there, because we didn't go there until 1995, have we ever taken you through any of those security doors and knocked at the doors when y'all were doing inventory with them machines? Have we ever carried you through any doors and knocked at a door through a security door for you to go in? Because we usually go in and --HEARING OFFICER: I think you're repeating your question now. Just let him answer it.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

FREEDOM COURT REPORTING

169 Honestly, I can't say yes or I will say that you have a very --Calvin and yourself have a very good route of knowing how to go up and down the elevators, go down the open access halls in order to adequately and correctly service your machines. I on the other hand have never been able to learn the route successful, and so that's why I keep all my equipment listed per columns in the building. I will work all the machines. and then if I notice that I have missed a machine, I'll know what column it's under and I can -- then I can figure out which elevator to go in to get to it. So to answer that question, most likely we have never -- you have never knocked on a door to get in. MS. SCOTT: Thank you. HEARING OFFICER: Do you have any further questions? MS. SCOTT: No. Not that I can think of right now.

1	170 HEARING OFFICER: Let me ask
2	Mr. Simpson, do you have any
3	questions?
4	REDIRECT EXAMINATION (Continued)
5	BY MR. SIMPSON:
6	Q. Even after having been served
7	with the lawsuit filed by the Scotts
8	naming you as a defendant, have you had
9	the opportunity to provide obtain
10	through your work new equipment for
11	Mr. Scott to utilize in his business?
12	A. Repeat the question.
13	Q. Have your bought them some
14	new equipment, a coin sorter?
15	A. I would have to check.
16	Q. Okay. If a piece of
17	equipment failed and had to be replaced at
18	the Scotts' facility today, what would you
19	do?
2 0	A. Just replace it.
21	Q. Just replace it because
2 2	that's your job?
2 3	A. Right.

1	Q. All right. You're not going
2	to treat them any differently now
3	A. No.
4	Q because they're asking
5	some federal court to pay have you pay
6	them a million dollars?
7	A. No.
8	Q. Or have you serve six months
9	in jail?
10	A. No.
11	MR. SIMPSON: All right. That's
12	all, Your Honor.
13	HEARING OFFICER: Ms. Fleming, I
14	believe you have some questions.
15	MS. FLEMING: Yes. Thank you.
16	CROSS-EXAMINATION
17	BY MS. FLEMING:
18	Q. Mr. Green, do you have any
19	idea why the security procedures on the
2 0	fourth floor in the Gordon Persons
21	Building changed in or around January
22	2005?
23	A. No, I don't. I don't have

	172
1	access to that kind of information. I
2	could speculate, but
3	Q. Do you recall any
4	conversations that you might have had with
5	Buddy Swearengin having to do with a need
6	for security or greater security in the
7	Gordon Persons Building?
8	A. Yes. Whenever he whenever
9	he stated that they would have to check it
10	in and check it out, there were two
11	reasons. I think one is for the greater
12	security and
13	Q. Around Revenue?
14	A. Around Revenue.
15	Q. And let me digress just a
16	moment. The fourth floor of the Gordon
17	Persons Building is occupied by the
18	Alabama Department of Revenue; is that
19	correct?
2 0	A. I don't know. But you're
2 1	yes, most likely.
2 2	Q. And it was the fourth floor
23	of the Gordon Persons Building that was

	173
1.	secured and presenting a problem for
2.	Mr. and Ms. Scott to access because they
3	had secured card keys for Revenue on the
4	fourth floor?
5	A. Right.
6	Q. All right. Now, tell me what
7	conversations you can recall with Buddy
8	Swearengin about that security on the
9	fourth floor for Revenue.
0	A. Only that they had tightened
1	the restrictions on who could have a key
2	and who could have continuous access to
3	those areas.
4	Q. And when you say they had
5	tightened security, meaning the
6	A. I would guess the IRS or
7	the
8	Q. The Department of Revenue?
9	A. Department of Revenue, yes.
0	Q. Had requested tighter
1	security?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. And it's your understanding

	174
1	they only wanted people who were actually
2	permanent State employees, Revenue
3	Department employees to have access to
4	their revenue data
5	A. Right.
6	Q and areas?
7	A. Right.
8	Q. It's your understanding they
9	didn't want State employees from other
10	departments coming in to Revenue or having
11	access to Revenue?
12	A. Rìght.
13	Q. Only State Revenue employees
14	it was their request that only State
15	Revenue employees have access?
16	A. Yes, ma'am.
17	MS. FLEMING: Okay. That's all
18	I have.
19	HEARING OFFICER: Ms. Scott, do
2 0	you have any questions in light of
21	all of that? Ms. Scott.
22	MR. SCOTT: No questions.
23	MS. SCOTT: No questions.

	175
1	HEARING OFFICER: Next witness.
2	MR. SIMPSON: I'll get him.
3	HEARING OFFICER: Raise your
4	right hand and be sworn.
5	PERRY HOPPER
6	The witness, having been first
7	duly sworn or affirmed to speak the truth,
8	the whole truth, and nothing but the
9	truth, testified as follows:
10	DIRECT EXAMINATION
11	BY MR. SIMPSON:
12	Q. Tell us your name, please.
13	A. My name is Perry Hopper.
14	Q. What do you do for a living?
15	A. I'm the assistant director of
16	the Business Enterprise Program for the
17	Blind.
18	Q. How long have you been
19	assistant director?
20	A. Twenty no. Seven years.
21	Q. And what does the assistant
22	director of the Business Enterprise
23	Program do?

	1 7 6
1	A. Well, job development,
2	marketing, promotion, also provide
3	assistance to our field reps in doing
4	their job. I compile financial data, some
5	of which you submit to the federal
6	government.
7	Q. In February of this year did
8	you become aware of a problem at the
9	Gordon Persons Building?
10	A. Yes. Ken delivered to Ray
11	and I a letter from a Mr. James Buddy
12	Swearengin that demanded the removal of
13	the Scotts from the building.
14	Q. If he had been removed, if
15	Mr. Scott had been removed at the request
16	of the building management of that
17	building, would he upon his removal still
18	have a license to participate in the
19	Business Enterprise Program?
2 0	A. Yes.
21	Q. If there was available
22	another location that pursuant to our
23	rules would be assigned to Mr. Scott on

FREEDOM COURT REPORTING

177
the day that he was removed from the
and I'm conditioning this on if. It's
hypothetical. If there had been a removal
based upon that request from
Mr. Swearengin and if there had been
another facility that could be assigned in
accordance with our rules, could Mr. Scott
have continued to work in the program the
very next day?
A. Yes, on a temporary basis.
Q. All right. And when you say
on a temporary basis, what do you mean?
What do you mean?
A. We have to go through Alabama
Code Rules, our Business Enterprise
Program general rules. We have to go
through certain procedures to select a
person for permanent placement in a job.
Q. But he could have but
there are many facilities that are being
operated temporarily even as we speak?
A. That's correct. Probably a
dozen

	170
1	Q. And so assignment to a
2	temporary facility and subsequent building
3	bidding for an assignment to a permanent
4	facility could have meant that Mr. Scott
5	could have continued operating a business
6	in the Business Enterprise Program with
7	no it's possible with no interruption
8	in his income?
9	A. It's possible if the
r 0	circumstance permit it.
11	Q. If the circumstances permit
12	it. Now, that didn't happen?
L 3	A. No.
L 4	Q. That didn't happen. You
L 5	received a letter asking for his removal
L 6	by the person that was assigned to manage
L 7	that building?
L 8	A. Right.
9	Q. And what did you do?
2 0	A. Our liaison with the building
21	is Mr. Swearengin. Ray and I, we went
2	Ray Dennis, Mr. Dennis and I, we went to
3	see Mr. Swearengin immediately the first

179 1 working day he was back at work that 2 morning and to try and get him to rescind 3 his decision to put Calvin out of the building. 4 5 Ο. Were you concerned at that 6 point? 7 Α. Yes. I was very concerned. 8 Q. Why? 9 Because as far as I knew, 10 Calvin was out of a job. Well, he was out of his facility. And Mr. Swearengin had 11 12 we -- had he not rescinded that, there 13 would be no way he could operate his 14 facility. He wouldn't be allowed in the 15 building. I suspect that he would have been removed by the capital police had he 16 17 gone to the building after those three days. But we were fortunate enough and he 18 19 was a compassionate enough man that he 20 rescinded that decision. 21 And allowed Mr. Scott to Q. 22 continue to operate with certain 23 conditions?

	1 0 0
1	180 A. With certain conditions,
2	correct.
3	Q. Tell us about those
4	conditions and how they were arrived at.
5	A. Well, it was through
6	through negotiation with Mr. Swearengin,
7	Ray and I, and we relied on his compassion
8	for Calvin. And we just asked him, you
9	know, what can we do so that Calvin can
10	continue his employment, self-employment
11	in this building. And fortunately I
12	was very relieved. Fortunately, we were
13	able to get a rescinding of that demand
14	that Calvin be removed from the building.
15	Q. How did you feel after you
16	met with Mr. Swearengin?
17	A. I was very I was very,
18	very happy that we had convinced him to
19	rescind his decision.
2 0	Q. And do you know what happened
21	after Mr. Scott was informed of the fact
22	that he could go back to work just so long
23	as his wife was no longer accompanying

181
him?
A. Well, there was a series of
communications which Calvin sent and there
was also some contacts with political
entities and but basically, he refused
to to go back to his job. I mean his
facility.
Q. How long have you known
Calvin Scott?
A. Probably twenty-five,
twenty-seven years.
Q. Have you served as his
Business Enterprise Program representative
during that period of time?
A. Yes. Yes, I have.
Q. Before you were assistant
director?
A. That's correct. I have.
Q. Known the man for a long
time?
A. Yes.
Q. You worked at obtaining
equipment for his facility?

	182
1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Repair been present while
3	repairs were being made on this equipment?
4	A. Yes. Yes.
5	Q. What do you consider Calvin
6	Scott to you?
7	A. He's my friend. He's been my
8	friend for years.
9	Q. So you were trying to save a
10	friend's business
11	A. That's correct.
12	Q when you went to go back
13	to Mr. Swearengin?
14	A. That is correct. That is all
15	I was trying to do.
16	Q. Were you trying to conspire
17	with Mr. Swearengin to somehow violate
18	Mr. Scott's constitutional rights?
19	A. No, sir.
20	Q. Now, when there was a series
21	of correspondence I believe you
22	testified there was a series of
23	correspondence, some of which you received

1	and then you are aware that some
2	politicians
3	A. I received
4	Q and other governmental
5	officials received correspondence, did you
6	have to do anything in connection with all
7	of that correspondence? Were you
8	requested to prepare something?
9	A. Well, I prepared not only
10	did I respond to some a senator's
11	office I'm not sure exactly which one
12	it was through facsimile and by other
1 3	means, I also was met Ray and I met
14	with the commissioner because he had been
15	contacted by some local politicians or by
16	a local politician or politicians. I know
L 7	of one. And so I formulated a timeline of
L 8	the correspondence and the actions or
L 9	correspondence that had transpired between
3 0	February the 7th and February the 17th.
21	Q. Those are documents you were
2 2	assigned to produce for the commissioner
2 3	to have an explanation of what had

1	184 happened?
2	A. What was transpiring.
3	Q. And you produced it on
4	February 17th for transmission to the
5	commissioner so he could understand what
6	had been happening?
7	A. That's correct.
8	Q. And in front of you, Exhibit
9	Number 13, is that an accurate copy of the
10	work that you performed to produce that
11	timeline of what had happened back on
12	February 17th?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. Now, this is something that
15	the Scotts haven't seen until today, to
16	your knowledge?
17	A. That's correct.
18	Q. But this is a document that
19	you were assigned to produce in the
20	regular course of your business as
21	assistant director of the Business
2 2	Enterprise Program?
2 3	A. That's correct.

1	Q. Does it accurately reflect
2	what your knowledge of the events were
3	back on February 17th of what had
4	happened?
5	A. To the best of my knowledge,
6	yes.
7	Q. And did you record it for a
8	purpose? I mean, did you write these
9	things down for a reason?
10	A. Well, it was to advise the
11	commissioner on what the status was and
12	what had transpired. He the
13	commissioner basically wanted to know what
1 4	is going on.
15	MR. SIMPSON: We would move to
16	admit Exhibit Number 15.
17	HEARING OFFICER: Is there any
18	objection?
19	MS. FLEMING: No objection.
2 0	HEARING OFFICER: It will be
21 .	admitted.
2 2	Q. All right. Subsequent to
2 3	that production of that document, Exhibit

186 1 Number 13, did I ask you to produce a document that accurately reflects the 2 profits and sales that have over the years 3 been obtained by the operation of 4 Mr. Calvin Scott's facility at the Gordon 5 Persons Building? 6 7 That's correct. Now, I want you to look at 8 what's in that notebook identified as 9 10 Exhibit 14. Is that the document you produced for me? 11 Yes. 12 Α. How do you go about gathering 13 that information? 14 Well, the -- each vendor 15 16 submits monthly a BEP-1E which is a -it's a summary of financial data for his 17 or her facility. That goes through 18 19 accounting which aggregates all the 20 information. And each vendor is tracked 21 annually through the financial reports 22 that are produced in the accounting 23 department. We receive a copy monthly of

www.freedomreporting.com

	187
1	those reports.
2	Q. And those reports would
3	reflect the amounts of gross sales and net
4	profits along with some other information?
5	A. Along with other information,
6	it would reflect the sales that was
7	reported by the vendor and the net
8	proceeds or net profit that accrue to the
9	blind vendor.
10	Q. Does Exhibit Number 14
11	accurately reflect on a fiscal year basis
12	the reported amounts for gross sales and
13	net profits for Calvin Scott's facility at
14	the Gordon Persons Building?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. You were his representative
17	for a period of time?
18	A. Correct.
19	Q. So the monthly sales reports,
20	to your knowledge, who prepared them for
21	Mr. Scott?
2 2	A. I don't have any personal
2 3	knowledge, Steve, if it was a an

188 accountant, a bookkeeper or an assistant. 1 I don't -- I can't say. 2 3 But do you know whether or not Mr. Scott was involved in the 4 preparation of those reports? 5 6 I would only be speculating, but Mr. -- Mr. Scott can't communicate. 7 As far as on paper, he can't communicate. 8 It would --9 1.0 Q. You know that from your 11 experience with him? 12 Α. T do. 13 So it had to be someone else Q. 14 filing those reports? 15 He -- it's -- it would be 16 someone else perhaps transcribing it, 17 perhaps someone else calculating them. 18 Perhaps he recorded into a recorder the 19 information. Perhaps he kept it in 20 Braille and then it was transcribed by 21 another individual. I've seen it done all 22 those ways over the years. 23 HEARING OFFICER: We don't have

1	189
1	any dispute there. Let's go on to
2	something else.
3	MS. SCOTT: Can I ask a
4	question?
5	HEARING OFFICER: Not yet.
6	MS. SCOTT: Okay.
7	Q. You've been named as one of
8	the defendants in Mr. Scott's lawsuit
9	where he seeks a million dollars and you
10	to be put in jail for six months
11	A. Yeah.
12	Q and be removed from your
13	job?
14	A. Right.
15	Q. And you still have to perform
16	services perform your job
17	A. Correct.
18	Q to see that Mr. Scott's
19	facility at the Gordon Persons Building is
20	a successful business operation?
21	A. That's correct.
22	Q. And you're still going to,
23	aren't you?

	190
1	A. You're right.
2	Q. You're not going to treat him
3	any differently?
4	A. No. Absolutely absolutely
5	not.
6	Q. Did you do anything, Perry,
7	to try to harm Mr. Scott
8	A. No.
9	Q in any way?
10	A. No, sir.
11	Q. What were you trying to do?
12	A. Trying to keep him employed,
13	keep him I was trying to keep him from
14	losing his facility.
15	MR. SIMSON: That's all.
16	A. Trying to
17	MR. SIMPSON: That's all. Thank
18	you.
19	HEARING OFFICER: Ms. Fleming,
2 0	do you have any questions?
21	MS. FLEMING: Yes, just a few.
22	CROSS-EXAMINATION
23	BY MS. FLEMING:

	191
1	Q. Looking, again, at Exhibit
2	14, Mr. Hopper, it indicates this
3	report that you've prepared indicates that
4	in fiscal years 1999, at least in FY '99,
5	Mr. Scott was servicing floors four
6	through six in the Gordon Persons
7	Building; is that correct?
8	A. That's correct.
9	Q. There's only one asterisk
10	there. Was that also, though, the case in
11	fiscal year 2000 and 2001?
12	A. I'm confident that is.
13	Q. So in those three years,
1 4	Mr. Scott was only responsible for floors
15	four, five and six in the Gordon Persons
16	Building?
17	A. That's correct.
18	Q. Was there a different blind
19	vendor on floors one through three?
2 0	A. Yes.
21	Q. And then it appears beginning
2 2	in fiscal year 2002 Mr. Scott became
23	responsible for servicing the entire

	192
1	Gordon Persons Building; is that correct?
2	A. That's correct. To date.
3	Q. In 2002 and he's continued
4	that to the present day?
5	A. Yes, ma'am.
6	Q. Based on your figures, it
7	appears that the net profit that was made
8	in fiscal years 1999, 2000 and 2001 was in
9	the neighborhood of twenty-five thousand
10	dollars a year. Twenty-six, twenty-five,
11	twenty-seven?
12	A. Twenty-six thousand it looked
13	to me just without a calculator.
14	Q. And then beginning in the
15	year 2002 when his space doubled to
16	include six floors instead of three
17	floors, his income apparently doubled to
18	the neighborhood of fifty-two thousand
19	dollars a year?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. Net profit?
2 2	A. Yes, ma'am. In 2002, this is
23	the fiscal year because that's how we

	193
1	report to the federal government. Calvin
2	reported through his BEP-1E's fifty-two
3	thousand nine hundred and four dollars and
4	thirty-four cents in net proceeds, net
5	profits.
6	Q. Is that what you would expect
7	when someone doubled the space, because
8	the Gordon Persons Building, I assume, has
9	approximately the same number of machines
10	on each floor?
11	A. Yes, ma'am. That's exactly
12	what we would expect.
13	Q. That in 2002, net profits
14	would double from twenty-six to
15	fifty-two
16	A. Yes, ma'am.
17	Q thousand dollars a year?
18	A. Yes, ma'am.
19	MS. FLEMING: Thank you.
2 0	HEARING OFFICER: Now,
21	Ms. Scott, do you have any questions
22	for him?
23	MS. SCOTT: Yes, please, sir.

194 CROSS-EXAMINATION
BY MS. SCOTT:
Q. I would like to ask Perry and
Ray and all, what has this income got to
do with this lawsuit? What has this got
to do with this lawsuit? Whatever the
income is, he worked and he earned it.
But what has this income, this ridiculous
income you've got down here
HEARING OFFICER: I think it
would be better, more appropriate for
you to object to the questions before
they were answered rather than to ask
him that question. He's here to
he's here to answer questions,
factual questions and not to talk
about the theory of the case.
THE WITNESS: That's that's
correct.
MS. SCOTT: What has this got to
do with this lawsuit?
HEARING OFFICER: Well, the
thing to do, if you think something

1	195 is irrelevant is to object to it when
2	the question is asked.
3	MS. SCOTT: Okay. All right.
4	Okay.
5	HEARING OFFICER: Do you have
6	any questions for Mr. Hopper?
7	MS. SCOTT: That's that's
8	that was the question I wanted to ask
9	him.
10	HEARING OFFICER: You don't have
11	any other questions for Mr. Hopper?
12	MS. SCOTT: No. No.
13	HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Seeing
14	as there were no other questions
15	seeing as there were no questions
16	MR. SIMPSON: Is Exhibit 14
17	admitted?
18	HEARING OFFICER: Do you want to
19	move to admit it?
20	MR. SIMPSON: Yes. Could I move
21	to admit Exhibit 14?
22	HEARING OFFICER: Any objection
2 3	to 14?

1	196 MS. SCOTT: I object to it. I
2	object to it.
3	HEARING OFFICER: I will
4	overrule the objection and admit
5	Exhibit 14.
6	Mr. Hopper, you may be excused.
7	Thank you for your testimony.
8	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
9	MR. SIMPSON: That's all the
10	State has on behalf of the Department
11	and the departmental employees. I
12	don't know if Ms. Fleming wants to
13	present anything on behalf of the
14	Finance Department.
15	HEARING OFFICER: The Alabama
16	Department of Rehabilitation Services
17	is resting its case saying it has no
18	further evidence to present at this
19	time. Y'all understand that?
20	MS. SCOTT: Okay.
21	HEARING OFFICER: Now I'll ask
22	if I guess that would be the
23	Finance Department.

 $\frac{www.freedomreporting.com}{1\text{-}877\text{-}373\text{-}3660}$

1	MS. FLEMING: I'm not sure if
2	it's appropriate for us to present a
3	case at this time, Your Honor. So we
4	would not present one.
5	HEARING OFFICER: You don't have
6	any?
7	MS. FLEMING: We have no
8	evidence to offer.
9	HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Now, I
10	will go back to Ms. Scott on behalf
11	of Mr. Scott and ask if there's any
12	further evidence that you-all would
13	like to offer? Evidence.
14	MS. SCOTT: Yes. These are the
15	2005 payout refunds.
16	HEARING OFFICER: To be quite
17	frank, I really don't see that that
18	has anything to do with anything in
19	this case.
20	MS. SCOTT: Well, this has all
21	come up. We was accused of not
22	paying the personnel.
23	HEARING OFFICER: I'm not going

	198
1	to I'm not going to decide whether
2	or not that accusation was correct or
3	not correct. It's irrelevant to
4	every issue in the case, if there are
5	any issues in the case.
6	MS. SCOTT: We have payouts.
7	HEARING OFFICER: Now, if you
8	want to offer that, that's fine.
9	MS. SCOTT: I'd like to offer
10	it.
11	HEARING OFFICER: All right.
12	MS. SCOTT: And, also, even
13	where we called these people, I've
14	got checks in red of the State office
15	building. Every one of these numbers
16	is the personnel.
17	HEARING OFFICER: Let's take
18	them one at a time now. You want to
19	offer your 2005 snack refunds as
20	Exhibit 23.
21	MS. SCOTT: Whatever exhibit
22	that go on.
23	HEARING OFFICER: And do you

	199
1	have copies of these?
2	MS. SCOTT: Yeah. I have copies
3	of those.
4	HEARING OFFICER: So that you
5	won't be prejudiced in preparing your
6	2005 tax returns?
7	MS. SCOTT: No. I ran them off.
8	HEARING OFFICER: All right.
9	Any objection?
10	MR. SIMPSON: I have no
11	objection.
12	MS. FLEMING: No objection.
13	HEARING OFFICER: All right.
14	This will be admitted as Exhibit 23.
15	Now, the next thing that you'd like
16	to offer would be what is that?
17	MS. SCOTT: This is the cell
18	phone calls. I've got them all
19	checked there for State for the
20	Gordon Persons Building where we
21	called personnel to come out and
22	collect the refunds. I have those.
23	HEARING OFFICER: All right.

1	You want to offer that as Exhibit
2	Number 24?
3	MS. SCOTT: Yes. And we do pay
4	refunds. Don't nobody have no
5	problem with us down there. None
6	whatsoever.
7	HEARING OFFICER: All right.
8	And is there any objection to 24?
9	MR. SIMPSON: No, sir.
10	MS. FLEMING: No.
11	HEARING OFFICER: Then they'll
12	be admitted. All right. Any
13	additional testimony or evidence or
14	documents?
15	MS. SCOTT: Well, I would like
16	to go back and talk about those
17	machines that was empty. They had
18	nineteen. They got on here twenty,
19	but it's only nineteen snack
20	machines. And when we got back to
21	work
22	HEARING OFFICER: All right.
23	You're testifying now; am I correct?

	201
1	MS. SCOTT: Yes.
2	HEARING OFFICER: And you're
3	testifying in response to something
4	that you heard?
5	MS. SCOTT: Them say.
6	HEARING OFFICER: All right.
7	Now, you're still under oath.
8	MS. SCOTT: Okay. All nineteen
9	of those machines, my old camera gave
10	out. All nineteen all eighteen of
11	those machines was empty when we got
12	back. My camera messed up. I tried
13	to take all eighteen of them. I
14	tried to take them all.
15	HEARING OFFICER: Do you want to
16	offer that?
17	MS. SCOTT: I don't mind.
18	HEARING OFFICER: Do you want to
19	offer that to show that
2 0	MR. SCOTT: Yes. Yes, sir. To
21	how hard a time we had when we got
22	back to work. Yes. I sure would.
23	Now, on this here, only one machine

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

FREEDOM COURT REPORTING

202 that was full when we got back, and that is because somebody -- it's been reported to Ken Green several times. Somebody down in that building on 2 Monroe and Jackson is putting tape, paper clips, letter openers, rubberbands, even twisted bread things in the coin machine where you put your coins and it jams up the machine. That's how the machine was when we got back. This has been reported to Ken Green several times. Several times. And it was full. This is the only machine that was full when we got back. It's the only one that was full. I tried to stand back far enough. And this machine has a note on it. I've got the note somewhere. It reads this machine is jammed. Ken Green saw this. Neither did he call the technician -- and he's got keys to these machines -- to unjam that machine, to open it up.

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

FREEDOM COURT REPORTING

203 So the precedent is, Well, he had at least one machine they could have used after all this trouble they was putting us through was going on. done nothing about that. We didn't get any support, none whatsoever. But this is the -- that was full when we got back located on 2 Monroe and Jackson. I've got a copy of that note somewhere telling that the machine was jammed. Ken saw that because they went to every machine. HEARING OFFICER: What period of time -- what period of time was Mr. Scott absent from the building? MS. SCOTT: From February the 11th until we returned March the 2nd. He was gone two weeks. HEARING OFFICER: That sounds like more than two weeks, the 11th of February to the 2nd of March. MS. SCOTT: Whatever it was. Whatever amount it was, because I had

204 1 reports here that we -- the 11th of February was the last day. 3 HEARING OFFICER: Let me ask you this. Was there any reason why 4 Mr. Scott could not return to the 5 building between the 11th of February 6 and the 2nd of March? 7 MS. SCOTT: Well, he didn't have 8 9 anybody to bring him to work. 10 HEARING OFFICER: That's not my 11 question. Was there any reason why 12 Mr. Scott could not return to the 13 building between the 11th of 14 February -- was anybody preventing 15 him from returning to the building? 16 MR. SCOTT: Can I answer that? 17 HEARING OFFICER: Yes, sir. 18 You're still under oath. 19 MR. SCOTT: Yes, sir. Yes, sir. 20 They did. I -- number one, as I 21 stated earlier, when we were -- when 22 we first got here, see, the whole 23 thing was that I could not use my

	205
1	wife in helping me who has been with
2	me
3	HEARING OFFICER: I understand.
4	MR. SCOTT: these forty
5	years.
6	HEARING OFFICER: I understand.
7	Other than that, was there anything
8	to prevent you from returning to the
9	facility from the 11th of February
10	and the 2nd of March?
11	MR. SCOTT: As far as somebody
12	helping me, how do you mean that?
13	MS. SCOTT: I wasn't allowed to
14	drive.
15	MR. SCOTT: That's right.
16	MS. SCOTT: I couldn't even
17	drive him down there.
18	HEARING OFFICER: Other than
19	that, was there anything to prevent
2 0	you from returning to the building
21	between the 11th of February and the
22	2nd of March?
23	MS. SCOTT: He had no

1	206 assistance.
2	MR. SCOTT: Number one, I did
3	not have no assistance.
4	HEARING OFFICER: Was there
5	anything else?
6	MR. SCOTT: That's it.
7	HEARING OFFICER: Okay.
8	MR. SCOTT: Number one, I wasn't
9	going to hire nobody to help me with
10	my work.
11	HEARING OFFICER: Okay.
12	MS. SCOTT: And why should I get
13	rid of my wife for helping me for no
14	reason? I'd be a fool.
15	MS. SCOTT: And, also
16	HEARING OFFICER: Now, let me
17	ask you this. Is there anything in
18	the program that requires anyone to
19	allow you to bring your wife to your
20	facility to assist you?
21	MR. SCOTT: I have never heard
22	of it. I have never heard that it
23	was not.

1	207 HEARING OFFICER: No. No. I
2	think you misunderstood my question.
3	MR. SCOTT: Okay. Ask it again.
4	HEARING OFFICER: I'm asking if
5	there's anything in law or regulation
6	that requires the Alabama Department
7	of Rehabilitation Services or the
8	
	State of Alabama Finance Department
9	to allow you to bring your wife to
10	the building, to the Gordon Persons
11	Building, that requires them to allow
12	you to bring your wife? That's my
13	question.
14	MR. SCOTT: There is no law as
15	far as who whoever I use to bring
16	me to that building.
17	HEARING OFFICER: Okay.
18	MR. SCOTT: Not only her.
19	MS. SCOTT: We never saw a
20	policy that a wife couldn't work with
21	or assist my husband because we've
22	got plenty of wives that does assist
23	their husbands that are

1	208 MR. SCOTT: Most of them that
2	are totally blind like myself. I'm
3	sorry. I'm sorry.
4	MS. SCOTT: Most of them help do
5	it. But if I may get back to talk
6	about these machines. We had
7	eighteen of them empty. I talked
8	about the one that was full.
9	HEARING OFFICER: All right.
10	MS. SCOTT: And the monies we
11	took up, we wasn't able to keep the
12	stock up because we wasn't allowed to
13	be there at work.
14	HEARING OFFICER: Well, nothing
15	prevented Mr. Scott from returning to
16	the building, isn't that his
17	testimony, other than that he wanted
18	you to be there with him?
19	MS. SCOTT: He have to have
2 0	assistance, and he didn't have no
21	assistance. He didn't have anybody
22	to bring him.
2 3	HEARING OFFICER: All right. I

209 1 understand. 2 MS. SCOTT: That's why. And 3 they only gave him three days. HEARING OFFICER: Okay. 5 MS. SCOTT: Along with the 6 harassment letters and the calls. 7 But anyway, all the money that we 8 took up out of the machines, out of 9 the eighteen machines, that one was 10 full. And I had pastries. I forgot 11 how many I had in that machine. we lost on pastries there. But all 12 13 the money that we took up, we had to 14 take it and fill up those machines. 15 HEARING OFFICER: Let me ask you this. Is there anything in the law 16 17 in the regulation that requires the 18 Alabama Department of Rehabilitation 19 Services to do Mr. Scott's job for 20 him when he didn't do it? 21 MS. SCOTT: No. But most of the time, a lot of times I have known of 22 23 some representatives that have been

	210
1	pretty nice to some people. Like we
2	had stock down there that
3	HEARING OFFICER: That's not
4	what I'm asking. I think you've
5	answered my question.
6	MS. SCOTT: No.
7	HEARING OFFICER: It seems to me
8	that you're saying that Mr. Green had
9	some duty to do Mr. Scott's job in
10	his absence, and I want to know where
11	that duty comes from.
12	MS. SCOTT: No. I didn't say he
13	had a duty. I just said some of them
14	are pretty nice at helping their
15	vendors.
16	HEARING OFFICER: Well, what's
17	the point of these photographs that
18	you're putting out here?
19	MS. SCOTT: To tell that they
20	was empty when we got back, and all
21	the money that we took up, we had to
22	put it back inside.
2 3	HEARING OFFICER: That's what

211 1 I'm asking. 2 MS. SCOTT: We had to put them back in the machines when we went 3 back to work. HEARING OFFICER: I'm trying to 5 6 understand -- I'm trying to understand and I'm trying to help, 7 8 too. 9 MS. SCOTT: Okay. 10 HEARING OFFICER: I do not 11 understand why you're offering these 12 photographs. I don't see what 13 they're relevant to unless they're 14 relevant to your theory that the 15 Department or Mr. Green had some obligation to service these machines 16 17 in Mr. Scott's absence. 18 MS. SCOTT: Ken Green stated that the machines was full. He 19 stated that, and that's why I come up 20 21 with these pictures, to show that 22 those machines was not full. 23 HEARING OFFICER: Okay. That's

www.freedomreporting.com

		212
1	your only reason.	į
2	MS. SCOTT: He said before we	
3	left	
4	HEARING OFFICER: That's the	
5	only reason you're offering those?	
6	MS. SCOTT: That's the only	
7	reason why. Uh-huh. He said they	
8	were full when we left. I was just	
9	showing that they did empty up, and	
10	this one here that was jammed, the	į
11	reason why it was still full.	
12	HEARING OFFICER: Okay.	
13	MS. SCOTT: And then he didn't	
14	call the technician when he knew the	
15	problem with that machine.	
16	HEARING OFFICER: Was that his	
17	job?	
18	MS. SCOTT: Uh-huh.	
19	MR. SCOTT: Yes. That's his	
20	job.	
21	MS. SCOTT: He had keys. He	
22	could have unlocked it. He could	ļ
23	have unlocked the machine and got all	

213 of this stuff out of here, got the 1 2 tape and all of this stuff, paper 3 clips or whatever it is and cleaned it out. So the customers could have 4 5 used that one machine. HEARING OFFICER: Where can I 6 verify that that's his job? Is there 7 8 something in --MS. SCOTT: Oh, I'm not -- the 9 10 reason we had a problem is we 11 reported it to Ken Green. And Ken 12 Green know about this problem. When 13 he got there and saw this machine, he 14 knew what was wrong with the machine 15 and he done nothing about it. 16 HEARING OFFICER: Well, what I'm 17 trying to find out is where does it 18 say in the law or the regulations 19 that it's his job to deal with that 20 problem? That's what I'm getting at. MS. SCOTT: Well, I don't know 21 where it is in there, but as he said, 22 he reports whatever problems we 23

	214
1	report to him.
2	MR. SCOTT: To the technicians.
3	MS. SCOTT: To the technicians.
4	And he saw it was a problem because
5	he knew of this problem. We had told
6	him long ago and kept telling him
7	about this problem and tried to talk
8	to them about putting a camera up for
9	the people been messing with that
10	machine. But he knew of that problem
11	and he didn't call the technicians
12	in.
13	HEARING OFFICER: Do you want to
14	offer these photographs?
15	MS. SCOTT: Yeah. Uh-huh.
16	HEARING OFFICER: Which ones do
17	you want to offer?
18	
19	MS. SCOTT: That's the machines
	that were I don't care if you take
20	them all. It will be all right with
21	me.
22	HEARING OFFICER: Well, I'm
23	going to leave that to you. I'm not

	0.4.5
1	going to make that decision.
2	MS. SCOTT: I'm showing where
3	the machines was empty. Like I said,
4	my camera hung up on me.
5	HEARING OFFICER: Well, just
6	show me which exhibits you want to
7	offer, which photographs you want to
8	offer.
9	MS. SCOTT: All of these down
10	here. It will be all right.
11	HEARING OFFICER: Do you want to
12	hand them to me?
13	MS. SCOTT: Sure. That's where
14	that machine, the one that's got the
15	note on it. And they done nothing
16	about it.
17	HEARING OFFICER: You want to
18	offer all of these photographs as
19	Exhibit Number 25?
20	MS. SCOTT: Whatever exhibit it
21	is.
2 2	HEARING OFFICER: All right.
2 3	Any objection over here?

_	216
1	MR. SIMPSON: None whatsoever.
2	HEARING OFFICER: All right.
3	These photographs will come in as
4	Exhibit Number 25. Anything further?
5	Is there anything further, Ms. Scott?
6	MS. SCOTT: Oh, no. Not that I
7	can think of right now.
8	HEARING OFFICER: You don't have
9	any other evidence you want to offer
10	on behalf of Mr. Scott?
11	MS. SCOTT: Not right now. I
12	think I've given you all the facts.
13	HEARING OFFICER: You've covered
14	everything that you want to cover?
15	MS. SCOTT: I believe so. All
16	except I can't find the date that
17	Calvin got inventoried out, because
18	Searcy Rushing had given us a copy
19	where he said he Calvin's letter
20	said he Calvin was being thrown
21	out on the 24th.
22	HEARING OFFICER: Was he
23	actually inventoried out?

1	MS. SCOTT: Yes. Uh-huh. I
2	have a sheet of it. But that's what
3	they gave Searcy. And when Searcy
4	called us after all of these people
5	had called him and all that,
6	contacted him about this situation,
7	he rescind his letter. Not
8	Swearengin. Swearengin hasn't
9	rescinded nothing. If it was up to
10	Swearengin, we would have been out of
11	there. All the calls that had come
12	from Rushing and government calls is
13	written in here.
14	HEARING OFFICER: Why did
15	you-all come back to work? Why did
16	Mr. Scott come back to work on March
17	2nd?
18	MS. SCOTT: Well, Searcy had
19	phoned us after we got a letter from
20	Jim Maine's assistant. He wanted us
21	to come down to tell him the
22	problems. I didn't want to go down
23	because he had the letter that

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

FREEDOM COURT REPORTING

218 Swearengin had written to push us But I went and we had a talk. Those letters are over there. he -- I showed him all of this stuff. I went down the letter and I told him about him agreeing with such things that -- you know, to answer a matter before you hear both sides of it. And he didn't look to our side and try to find out who we were. got together and they tried to push us out. And I didn't want to go talk to him. The reason why I went was because a friend of ours, Representative McClammy, because I was busy trying to get a lawyer to sue these people, you know. So to be sure Calvin kept his building, I had written all these people. Because I know once they got him out, regardless of what the Court turn out to be, these people not going to do a wrong to make a right that put

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

FREEDOM COURT REPORTING

another vendor out of that building and give Calvin his business back.

So that's why I went to Rushing. He rescinded his letter and said we could go back to work. And that's how we got back to work.

219

HEARING OFFICER: Okay.

MS. SCOTT: And he went down --I wrote -- there's a letter and saying he gave us a letter when he first got there and wanted us to sign the letter. No. We're not signing anything. He claim he read the letter, but he didn't read it to us, because if he had, me and Calvin would have got up and walked out on him. He was like accusing us of violating rules at the Gordon Persons Building. And I wrote him a letter back pertaining to that letter of how he accused us and, you know, that he was accusing us. But anyway, on the 28th he had called and he says to me

220 1 because we -- on the 25th is when 2 we had that conference with him. 3 he said, Well, I'll see y'all Monday. 4 So in telling us that, I mean, the 5 package he gave me for us, it didn't 6 have a letter telling us we could go 7 back to work. So naturally we wasn't showing up. We didn't show up 8 9 Monday. So he called me sometime Monday. Ms. Scott, do you ever get 10 11 tired? Do your battery ever run 12 down? All of these meetings and you 13 didn't show up at work today. said, You didn't -- them letters you 14 gave us was for Swearengin. You 15 16 accused us of breaking laws. 17 haven't seen a letter where you're 18 telling us to go back to work down in 19 the building. Until we get that 20 letter, we're not going. Well, Ms. Scott, I come out to your house 21 22 to bring that letter trying to get 23 your coins back in your pocket.

221 1 That's how he talked to me. But I 2 went to pick up the letter to get 3 back to work because they was all 4 hollering about the building. 5 HEARING OFFICER: I think you've answered my question. You went back 6 to work after you got a letter? 7 MS. SCOTT: From Searcy. Okay. 8 9 We got the letter from Searcy. 10 Now --11 HEARING OFFICER: I think you've 12 answered my question. MS. SCOTT: Okay. I want to say 13 14 something else. We got a letter from Searcy that was for the building. It 15 16 wasn't from Ray Dennis telling him 17 that. Because we got the letter that I could not work with Calvin anymore. 18 So I had to wait then to get the 19 20 letter from Ray Dennis. I went and picked up the letter, I think, from 21 22 Searcy on the 28th of February. So 23 on March the 2nd, I met Ken Green

1	222 down at the building with the letter
2	telling us we could go back to work.
3	We wasn't going back to work until we
4	got a letter from these people saying
5	we could go back to work.
6	HEARING OFFICER: I understand.
7	MS. SCOTT: There was no reason
8	we were
9	HEARING OFFICER: You've
10	answered my question. All right. I
11	understand from everyone that there's
12	no further evidence to be offered.
13	MS. FLEMING: I have a couple of
14	questions I would like to ask
15	Ms. Scott.
16	HEARING OFFICER: Go ahead.
17	MS. FLEMING: Thank you.
18	GLADYS SCOTT
19	having been previously duly
2 0	sworn or affirmed, testified as follows:
21	RECROSS-EXAMINATION
22	BY MS. FLEMING:
23	Q. I just want to clarify for

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

FREEDOM COURT REPORTING

223 the record, Ms. Scott, you previously stated in your opening statement about the incident at the dog track with Buddy Swearengin's son, James Swearengin, III. Α. Uh-huh. And I believe that your testimony has been that Exhibit 2, the letter that James Buddy Swearengin wrote to Ken Green on -- that was received on February 7th, you've previously stated you think that letter was written because of the incident that happened between you and James Swearengin, III; is that correct? No. That letter was written because of the three requests that we gave Ray to take back. He had to take it to the building manager. That letter was written because of that. Now, my swipe key was keyed out because of the incident. Remember me telling you. I told you on September the 20th. I explained all that to you.

www.freedomreporting.com 1-877-373-3660

I remember that.

Q.

	2.2.4
1	A. We had a long conference
2	after the hearing. I explained it to you
3	that day.
4	Q. And it's your belief that
5	Buddy Swearengin disabled your swipe
6	key
7	A. Yes. That's my belief.
8	Q because of the incident
9	that happened between you and his son?
10	A. His son must have went back
11	and told him a pack of lies, but he should
12	have told him how I was and how he
13	responded to me about the twenty dollars,
14	which he could have the twenty dollars.
15	Twenty dollars wouldn't keep me from
16	paying a bill. I gave him the six
17	dollars.
18	HEARING OFFICER: I think you've
19	answered her question.
20	Q. Now, what evidence do you
21	have that James Buddy Swearengin
22	discriminated against your husband, Calvin
23	Scott, on the basis of his race or his

1	disability?
2	A. Well, he discriminated on him
3	when he took the swipe key and he didn't
4	want us to have the swipe key. Anytime
5	anybody don't
6	HEARING OFFICER: You've
7	answered the question. You've
8	answered the question.
9	Q. Okay. Is that the only way
10	you feel he was discriminated against?
11	A. He was discriminated on
12	because of him being black. He never
13	liked us since we've been there. At first
14	it was two white vendors in that building.
15	From day one we went to him the way Fred
16	Morris was treating us and giving me
17	problems about me loading up and with my
18	blind husband and moving that moving
19	the van from the loading dock. And I had
2 0	to push all that stock up the long ramp.
21	They discriminated on us then, I mean,
22	when I first went to him.
2 3	Q. When was that?